Towards Run-time Monitoring of Web Services Conformance to Business-Level Agreements



Mr. Konstantinos Bratanis kobratanis@seerc.org



www.seerc.org

Dr. Anthony J.H. Simons a.simons@dcs.shef.ac.uk Dr. Dimitris Dranidis dranidis@city.academic.gr



www.shef.ac.uk

www.city.academic.gr

Literature Focus

- Web service monitoring received attention since 2003
- Check properties of Web services during run-time
- Great focus on the Quality of Service
- Need for blending existing approaches for creating more comprehensive monitoring solution

Example

- **MEDS** is a pharmaceutical company
 - MEDS receives direct orders from pharmacies
 - MEDS outsources the warehousing and the distribution to a third-party logistics (3PL) company
 - MEDS uses the WarehouseService provided by the 3PL to allocate a shipment of the items ordered



- MEDS has realized that the operation of its ordering handling system is strongly depend on the services provided by the 3PL
- MEDS established an Service-License Agreement (SLA) with the 3PL in order to have guarantees for the operation of the WarehouseService

Example

- **SLA** for the WarehouseService
 - Availability: >=99%, 09:00 - 15:00
 - Average Response Time: <=200ms</p>
 - Error Rate:
 0.005



For example, rather than promising 99% availability for a service, it would be possible to say that the number of undelivered orders placed by a Pharmacy may not exceed 2 per month in the WarehouseService



Example

- Business-Level Agreement (BLA) for the WarehouseService
 - If ordered quantity > inventory quantity then ship the rest items and notify the pharmaceutical company
 - Number of orders fulfilled at least in a day = 5
 - Order fulfillment <= 3 hours</p>



- There is a clear difference between SLA and BLA
- SLA concerns agreements on the availability degree of a Web service
- BLA concerns agreements on what a Web service does and how well it does it

Business-Level Agreement

- A BLA is a contract between a service provider and a service consumer that describes the agreed functional and non-functional requirements for a Web service
- A BLA is a contractual agreement between two business partners who will be transacting business using Web services [2] and it may involve a human in order for the activity to complete [3]
- A BLA concerns the agreement of higher business goals, thus it is created by business analysts, whereas an SLA concerns technical characteristics of a service
- A BLA could serve as a complementary description for Web services so that the conformance of the services to the agreement can be checked during run-time



Necessity for Monitoring

- Dynamic changes/upgrades in implementation may unwittingly break previous contracts after testing is formally over
- Conditions at run-time may introduce non-determinism (particularly when sharing resources) that requires monitoring and compensation at run-time
- The existence of a **conformance monitoring** capability is a kind of **guarantee** for the consumer that redress is possible if a contract is **not honoured**

Monitoring Architecture

- Assuring conformance of a service to BLA at run-time requires support for **monitoring different aspects** at the same time
- Developed a framework to support the monitoring of diverse aspects of a Web service
- **Open architecture** with focus on adding **multiple monitors** dynamically at **run-time**
- Adhere to SOA principals such as loose coupling, reuse and interoperability



Future Directions

- Investigate the relation of **BLA** to Business Process Management (**BPM**), Key Performance Indicators (**KPIs**) and Business Activity Monitoring (**BAM**)
- Derive a notation that will facilitate the creation of BLAs from business analysts
- **Convert** the aforementioned notation to a **machine-readable representation** for automating tasks such as **monitoring**
- Develop the infrastructure and tools to support BLA in SOA
- Examine the **applicability** of BLA through realistic case studies

References

- [1] Sauve, J.; Bartolini, C.; Moura, A.; , "Looking at business through a keyhole," Integrated Network Management-Workshops, 2009. IM '09. IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on , vol., no., pp.48-51, 1-5 June 2009
- [2] H. Kreger, "Fulfilling the Web services promise," Communications of the ACM, vol. 46, 2003, p. 29.
- [3] A. Sedighi and E. Johnson, "Classification of the Current Constraint and Capabilities Protocols in Describing Web Services," W3C Workshop on Constraints and Capabilities for Web Services, USA: W3C, 2004

Thank you

Discussion

